- (a) Work Evaluations from the personnel file of former LAPD Officer Alejandro Arredondo (who was involved in the subject incident) which relate to allegations of unauthorized (excessive) force and any documents from the personnel file which support Plaintiff's contention former LAPD Officer Alejandro Arredondo no longer works for the LAPD due to an incident involving excessive force, false/misleading statements or unlawful detention/arrest;
- (b) Internal Affairs Investigations which include allegations against former LAPD Officer Alejandro Arredondo regarding false and misleading statements, false arrest/false imprisonment and unauthorized (excessive) force in relation to incidents which occurred during the five-year period of time preceding the November 6, 2010 detention of Plaintiff, which gives rise to this litigation;
- (c) If any of the documents referenced herein at Paragraph 1(b) include recorded statements which are available, Plaintiff may purchase those statements by remitting payment to the Los Angeles Police Department in the amount of \$10.00 per CD rom. The City will inform Plaintiff as to the number of CD-roms required for the duplication process, following a written request by Plaintiff's counsel.

GOOD CAUSE STATEMENT

- 2. The Los Angeles Police Department has possession, custody and control of the personnel file of former LAPD Officer Alejandro Arredondo.
- 3. Thus far, the City has been unable to obtain authorization from the former employee, Alejandro Arredondo, to accept service of the Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and Summons.
- 4. The personnel file for former LAPD Officer Alejandro Arredondo contains his last known address, social security number and date of birth. The City and LAPD maintain that this information is confidential in nature and not subject to disclosure through the normal course of discovery in a civil or criminal action.
 - 5. The Department strives to maintain the confidentiality of an officer's

personnel package and Administrative Investigations, and the information contained therein, in recognition of the protections granted pursuant to Penal Code § § 832.5, 832.7, and 832.8 and 1040 et al. of the California Evidence Code.

4 | 5 | disser 6 | America 7 | District 8 | L.Ed. 9 | 1991) 10 | Stock 11 | (N.D.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6. The federal courts have recognized the strong interest in protecting the dissemination of an officer's personal information in civil litigation. (See *Dowling v. American Hawaii Cruises, Inc.*, 971 F.2d 423 (9th Cir. 1992); *Kerr v. United States District Court*, 511 F.2d 192 (9th Cir. 1975; aff. 426 U.S. 394, 96 S.Ct. 2119, 48 L.Ed.2d 725 (1976)); *Sanchez v. City of Santa Ana*, 936 F.2d 1027, 1033 (9th Cir.

1991); Soto v. City of Concord, 162 F.R.D. 603, 613 (N.D. Cal. 1995); Martinez v.

Stockton, 132 F.R.D. 677 (E.D. Cal. 1990); Kelly v. City of San Jose, 114 F.R.D. 653

(N.D. Cal. 1987); United States v. Zolin, 491 U.S. 554, 562, 109 S.Ct. 2619, 2625, 105

L.Ed.2d 469 (1989); and *Miller v. Pancucci*, 141 F.R.D. 292, 297-298 (C.D. Cal. 1992).)

TERMS OF THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

- 7. If the Protective Order is issued, Defendants will produce the above-referenced information and the supplemental discovery response will be marked in one of the following ways: "Confidential," "Confidential Documents," "Confidential Material," "Subject to Protective Order" or words of similar effect. Documents, writings, or other tangible items, so designated, and all information derived therefrom (hereinafter, collectively referred to as "Confidential Information"), shall be treated in accordance with the terms of this stipulation and protective order.
- 8. Confidential Information may be used by the persons receiving such information only for the purpose of attempting to locate and serve former LAPD Officer Alejandro Arredondo with the First Amended Complaint and Summons and/or a deposition subpoena (F.R.C.P. 45).
- 9. Subject to the further conditions imposed by this stipulation, Confidential Information may be disclosed only to the following persons:
 - (a) Counsel for the Plaintiff, his process server and investigator.

- 10. Any proof of service which is filed and which contains any protected information will be sealed by way of this Stipulation and Protective Order to be issued by the Court.
- 11. Upon the final termination of this litigation in either the United States
 District Court (Central District of California), the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals or
 Los Angeles County Superior Court, all Confidential Information and all copies
 thereof shall be returned to the Los Angeles City Attorney's Office within fourteen (14)
 calendar days along with written confirmation from Plaintiff's counsel that all materials
 are being returned pursuant to the terms of this Stipulation and the District Court's
 order on this Stipulation.
- 12. If Plaintiff's counsel receives a subpoena or other request seeking Confidential Information identified herein, he or she shall immediately give written notice to the Defendants' counsel, identifying the Confidential Information sought and the time in which production or other disclosure is required, and shall object to the request or subpoena on the grounds of this stipulation so as to afford the Defendants an opportunity to obtain an order barring production or other disclosure, or to otherwise respond to the subpoena or other request for production or disclosure of Confidential Material. Other than objecting on the grounds of this stipulation, no party shall be obligated to seek an order barring production of Confidential Information, which obligation shall be borne by the Defendants. However, in no event should production or disclosure be made without written approval by the Defendants' counsel unless required by court order arising from a motion to compel production or disclosure of Confidential Information.
- 13. Any pleadings, motions, briefs, declarations, stipulations, exhibits or other written submissions to the Court in this litigation which contain, reflect, incorporate or refer to Confidential Information shall be submitted with an application that the document be filed and maintained under seal either pursuant to Ex Parte Application and Order of the Court or Stipulation of the parties and Order of the

Court. (Local Rule 79-5, et seq.). Good cause for the under seal filing must be **shown.** If a document or pleading submitted to the Court, as described in this paragraph, makes only a general reference to any document or information contained therein covered by this protective order, but does not quote or describe its contents in any specific way, and does not include the protected document itself, then the party or parties need not enter into a Stipulation or otherwise seek an order to file the documents under seal. In entering into a Stipulation for the filing of Confidential Information under seal, neither one of the parties waives its right to object to the admissibility of said information in connection with that proceeding or to move to exclude said information prior to or during the time of trial.

- 14. The parties agree that the spirit of confidentiality as protected in this order will apply to all proceedings. To that end, before any protected document or any information derived therefrom is to be put forward, admitted into evidence, discussed in detail or otherwise publicized in Court, the party raising the protected document will inform the other parties and allow for a motion to the Court to close the proceedings to the public.
- 15. Nothing herein shall prejudice any party's rights to object to the introduction of any Confidential Information into evidence, on grounds including but not limited to relevance and privilege.
- 16. During the course of depositions, when counsel makes an objection to a question concerning a protected document or information contained therein, which is the subject of this Stipulation and protective order, or concerning a general area that counsel believes should be covered by the scope of this Stipulation and protective order, those witnesses (as identified in Paragraph 3(a) herein) may answer the question, without waiving the objections, and the questions and answers to those questions will be sealed and covered by the terms of this protective order. Counsel and the parties reserve the right to object to the disclosure of confidential or private information which is not the subject of this Stipulation and protective order. Any documents deemed